#### announcements

- Problem Set 2 is due right about now
- Problem Set 2's answer key will be available tomorrow(ish)
- Problem Set 3 will be assigned on Wednesday and due next Monday

1

categorical predictors (part 3: ANOVA)

February 5, 2024

2

### getting ready for >2 groups

- the first lesson to learn: creating one X **won't** suffice
- let's try
- I have a data set with 3 groups, and I assigned values of X = 1, 2, and 3 to them, respectively





## using one X for >2 groups will usually induce nonlinearity

- we will *need* m 1 new variables to numerically code our m groups
- the numbers we choose to indicate group membership will depend on what we want our slopes to tell us (among other constraints)

5

### we need more Xs

- if we have m groups, we need m 1 predictors (Xs), no more, no less
- the predictors could (should?) be contrast codes

 $\sum \lambda_k = 0$ 

• we want contrasts to be orthogonal (independent)

### orthogonality

• defined mathematically (for contrast codes; will not work for dummy codes)

$$\sum \lambda_{1k} \lambda_{2k} = 0$$

what?!

• let's look at some Xs for a three-group design and check for orthogonality

7

three ( $m = 3$ ) groups $\rightarrow$ two Xs, with
the value of $\lambda$ assigned to each

group	$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	$\lambda_1\lambda_2$
Α	1	0	
В	0	1	
С	-1	-1	

8

three (m = 3) groups  $\rightarrow$  two Xs, with the value of  $\lambda$  assigned to each

group	$\lambda_1$	λ2	$\lambda_1 \lambda_2$
А	2	0	
В	-1	1	
С	-1	-1	

### how to choose contrast codes?

- initially, let predictions dictate what's of interest
- then, let mathematical constraints fill in the rest, as needed

10

# a concrete example in a (hypothetical) study designed to test different memory strategies, participants were randomly assigned to learn a list of words using one of three strategies: form a mental image; find a rhyme; or just to study the list; after study & a delay, they're given a recall test the data are in today's script; the main results are group M control 6 image 12

2 image 3 rhyme	
--------------------	--

10

11

### what predictions might we want to test?

- 1. is using a strategy of any kind better than not using one?
- 2. which strategy works better?
- 3. is imagery better than nothing?
- 4. is rhyming better than nothing?
  - each prediction corresponds to a contrast we could do
    we can only include two (no more, no less), and we'd like them to be orthogonal

### let's test predictions 1 & 2

assign  $\lambda$ s using the "method of subsets"

- count the groups involved (this will be the denominator of the  $\lambda$ s)
- count the number of groups on each "team" (these will be numerators of weights on the other team)
- assign + and to each team, with the + going to the team expected to score higher
- for any "team" with more than one group, repeat

13

group	$\lambda_1$	λ <sub>2</sub>	$\lambda_1 \lambda_2$
image			
rhyme			
control			

14

what do we get?						
	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )		
(Intercept)	9.3333	0.7045	13.248	2.49e-13		
X1	5.0000	1.4944	3.346	0.00242		
x2	2.0000	1.7256	1.159	0.25660		



what are the predicted scores?  $memory = b_0 + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2$   $memory_{image} = 9.33 + 5(\frac{1}{3}) + 2(\frac{1}{2})$   $memory_{image} = 12$ 

16

what is Model C? • Model A  $memory = b_0 + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2$ • Model C for X<sub>1</sub>  $memory = b_0 + 0X_1 + b_2X_2$ • Model C for X<sub>2</sub>  $memory = b_0 + b_1X_1 + 0X_2$ 

17

let's focus on 
$$b_1$$
  
 $\mu_0: \beta_1 = 0$   
• this could be rewritten as  
 $\mu_0: \frac{1}{3}\mu_{image} + \frac{1}{3}\mu_{rhyme} + \left(-\frac{2}{3}\right)\mu_{control} = 0$   
 $\mu_0: \frac{1}{3}\mu_{image} + \frac{1}{3}\mu_{rhyme} = \frac{2}{3}\mu_{control}$   
 $\mu_0: \mu_{image} + \mu_{rhyme} = 2\mu_{control}$   
 $\mu_0: \frac{\mu_{image} + \mu_{rhyme}}{2} = \mu_{control}$ 

### a different Model C • Model A $memory = b_0 + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2$ • Model C

 $\widehat{memory} = b_0 + \mathbf{0}X_1 + \mathbf{0}X_2$ 

- this is Model C if one does a typical ANOVA
- crucially,  $\it PA-PC\!>\!1;$  this is undesirable for drawing specific conclusions

19





nonorthogonality → tolerance < 1



what happens if contrasts are not orthogonal?

group	$\lambda_1$	λ <sub>2</sub>
image	+1/3	+1/2
rhyme	+1/3	0
control	-2/3	-1/2

22

some parameters are not what they're expected to be						
(Intercept) badx1 badx2	Estimate Std. 8.000 6.000 4.000	Error t 1.349 1.726 3.451	value 5.930 3.477 1.159	Pr(> t ) 2.54e-06 0.00173 0.25660		

23

what happens if we use dummy codes?

group	$\lambda_1$	λ <sub>2</sub>
image	1	0
rhyme	0	1
control	0	0

## what is the intercept? what are the slopes?

	Estimate	Std.	Error	t	value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	6.000		1.220		4.917	3.8e-05
D1	6.000		1.726		3.477	0.00173
D2	4.000		1.726		2.318	0.02827

Note that even though dummy codes are not orthogonal (for linear algebra reasons that I don't fully understand), the slopes are what we want them to be

25

